Level 3 Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms for Sparse Matrices: a User Level Interface¹

Iain S. Duff, Michele Marrone², Giuseppe Radicati², and Carlo Vittoli²

ABSTRACT

This paper proposes a set of Level 3 Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms and associated kernels for sparse matrices. A major goal is to design and develop a common framework to enable efficient, and portable, implementations of iterative algorithms for sparse matrices on high-performance computers. We have designed the routines to shield the developer of mathematical software from most of the complexities of the various data structures used for sparse matrices. We have kept the interface and suite of codes as simple as possible while at the same time including sufficient functionality to cover most of the requirements of iterative solvers, and sufficient flexibility to cover most sparse matrix data structures. An important aspect of our framework is that it can be easily extended to incorporate new kernels if the need arises.

We discuss the design, implementation and use of subprograms for the multiplication of a full matrix by a sparse one and for the solution of sparse triangular systems with one or more (full) right-hand sides. We include a routine for checking the input data, generating a new sparse data structure from that input, and scaling a sparse matrix. The new data structure for the transformation can be specified by the user or can be chosen automatically by vendors to be efficient on their machines. We also include a routine for permuting the columns of a sparse matrix and one for permuting the rows of a full matrix.

Keywords: sparse matrices, sparse data structures, high-performance computing, programming standards, sparse BLAS, iterative solution.

AMS(MOS) subject classifications: 65F05, 65F50.

Department for Computation and Information Atlas Centre Rutherford Appleton Laboratory Oxon OX11 0QX

March 25, 1997.

¹ This paper has been accepted for publication in ACM Trans. Math. Softw. The previous version was entitled "A set of Level 3 Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms for sparse matrices". Current reports available by anonymous ftp from matisa.cc.rl.ac.uk (internet 130.246.8.22) in the directory "pub/reports".

 $^{^2}$ IBM, Italy. Current address of Carlo Vittoli is CRS4, Via Nazario Sauro 10, 09123 Cagliari, Italy.

Contents

1	Intr	oduction and Goals	1
2	Gen	neral Overview	3
3 Scope of the Level 3 Sparse BLAS			
4	Nar	ning conventions	5
5	\mathbf{Rep}	resentation of sparse matrix	6
6	Per	mutations	g
7	Arg	ument conventions	10
	7.1	Arguments specifying options	10
	7.2	Arguments defining the sizes of the matrices	11
	7.3	Input scalars	11
	7.4	Description of input matrices	11
	7.5	Description of the input-output matrix	12
	7.6	Work array	12
	7.7	Error flag	12
8	Spe	cifications of the Level 3 sparse BLAS	13
	8.1	Sparse Matrix times Full matrix	13
	8.2	Solution of triangular systems of equations	14
	8.3	Specification of data preprocessing routine	14
	8.4	Routines to permute matrices	15
9	Erre	or management	16
	9.1	Memory errors	16
10	Fort	tran 90 interface	17
11	Disc	cussion of the design	18
12	Ack	nowledgments	21

1 Introduction and Goals

We are proposing a set of Level 3 Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms and associated kernels for sparse matrices. This set includes Level 3 sparse BLAS for sparse-full matrix multiplication and sparse triangular solutions. We also include routines for permuting full and sparse matrices and a routine for data checking, for changing the data format of a sparse matrix, and for performing a diagonal scaling on the input matrix. We anticipate that these will form the basis for writing mathematical software for implementing iterative methods on sparse matrices.

We are quite intentionally not overly ambitious in this proposal because we feel it is important to get a common framework established in the very near future before different manufacturers develop their own different methods of implementing the functions we seek to standardize. We have, however, taken care to keep our design flexible and extensible in the sense that further capabilities can be easily added. For example, a proposal for a parallel sparse BLAS is being developed based on this framework (Cerioni, Colajanni, Filippone & Maiolatesi 1996).

In developing programs for sparse matrices, the choice of the data structure used to represent the non-zero coefficients of the matrix plays a crucial role. There are several contrasting requirements that guide this choice. Ordinarily, the data structure is chosen to limit the number of zeros stored and to avoid unnecessary calculations with zero values during subsequent numerical calculations. Additionally, the data structure must allow the software developer to take advantage of any regularity present in the sparsity pattern. Finally, the data structure may be chosen so that the software can exploit hardware features, such as vector registers or parallel processing capabilities. As a consequence of these somewhat contrasting requirements, a great many different data structures are used for sparse matrices.

The primary user community that we are targeting consists of developers of library software, although we recognize that the software could and should be used as building blocks by applications programmers. We expect a sophisticated user community but not necessarily one that is or need be familiar with details of sparse storage schemes. We have designed the routines so that the numerical library software developer is protected from the complexities of the various data structures used for sparse matrices. We have established a single simple interface that will accommodate most of the data formats in use today and have provided the ability to transform between formats without the need to know their details explicitly. The application programmer would provide the input matrix in a supported format nearest to the natural one for the particular application and would normally request that a transformation be made to the format provided by the vendor for the target machine. We expect that this transformation will be provided by vendors of high-performance computers. The cost in moving or rearranging the data, and storage, is in many cases more than offset by the gain in performance. Sometimes such rearranging is done explicitly as in the routine sparse_matvec_setup in CMSSL (CMSSL 1992), and sometimes it is implicit as in the IBM Library ESSL (ESSL 1990). We envisage that a major benefit of the provision of this interface will be a much quicker implementation of new algorithmic ideas into complex applications packages.

Current sparse software packages do not offer enough flexibility. In NSPCG (Oppe, Joubert

& Kincaid 1988), for example, the user is offered a choice of 5 basic storage formats and will choose the relevant subroutines to perform the basic linear algebra operations. The user is forced to adhere to this choice throughout the code. The user also has the ability to use other formats, but will then have to provide the code, following a given matrix-vector routine template. In our experience, the interface is not flexible enough to accommodate sufficient choices. Furthermore, if a format different from that provided by the library is used, there is less functionality (for example, red/black preconditioning cannot be applied). The issue of sparse BLAS for use with iterative methods is discussed at some length in Oppe & Kincaid (1990) but they consider only Level 1 and Level 2 BLAS and again use only very few prescribed storage modes for the sparse matrices. There are conversion routines in SPARSKIT (Saad 1994) but they are designed for specific data structures. Carney, Heroux & Li (1993) and Pozo & Remington (1996) develop suites of codes for implementing sparse BLAS but they provide separate routines for each data structure and functionality. Our proposal can be viewed as a wrapper or user interface to these efforts as indeed was its original design intention.

Recently, there has been some concern over standardizing interfaces to iterative solvers for sparse systems (Ashby & Seager 1990) and this is the primary orientation of this current proposal. We feel that, particularly with the recent rapid growth in the use of high-performance computers, it is most timely to establish a common framework to achieve high performance without sacrifice of portability. Note that our proposal will fit equally well whether the iterative software performs a call to a matrix-vector multiply routine or whether reverse communication is used since in either case a call can be made to a given sparse matrix-full matrix multiplication routine; the call is made by the routine in the former case and by the user in the latter.

A preliminary draft of this proposal, entitled "User Level Sparse BLAS", was discussed in a workshop at the Copper Mountain Conference on Iterative methods in April 1992 in conjunction with a paper "A proposal for a sparse BLAS toolkit" by Michael Heroux. The Toolkit paper has since developed into the work of Carney et al. (1993) and Pozo & Remington (1996) discussed above, which still retain a compatibility with our work as mentioned earlier. Since 1992, our proposal has been discussed at the two following Colorado Iterative Methods meetings (in 1994 and 1996) and at several conferences and workshops (including SIAM meetings, the Supercomputing series, and the recent BLAS fora). Earlier drafts of this document have been available on the Web and have been modified in the lights of comments received. We thus believe that the current proposal represents a fair reflection of the needs of the user community.

Computational kernels have been proposed for basic linear algebra operations on full matrices. These Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms include routines for vector operations such as a scalar product (Level 1 BLAS, Lawson, Hanson, Kincaid & Krogh (1979)), for matrix-vector operations such as the product of a vector by a matrix (Level 2 BLAS, Dongarra, Du Croz, Hammarling & Hanson (1988)) and for matrix-matrix operations such as the multiplication of full matrices (Level 3 BLAS, Dongarra, Du Croz, Duff & Hammarling (1990)). All these BLAS are now widely used in the development of software for linear algebra for full problems. There are only a very limited number of different forms, each of which has a natural storage scheme: the matrices may be general, symmetric, triangular, or banded. It has been natural to develop different versions of an algorithm for the various forms. In the case of sparse matrices, this is not practical because of the

variety of data structures. There are, however, instances when the full BLAS can be used on subproblems within an implementation of a code for sparse matrices (see for example Duff (1981), Amestoy, Daydé & Duff (1989), and Duff & Reid (1996)). Indeed, much of the power of frontal and multifrontal techniques for the solution of sparse equations comes from these kernels.

Dodson, Grimes & Lewis (1991) have proposed an extension of the Level 1 BLAS to the sparse case. Routines are included for gather and scatter, saxpy and sdot, and application of a Givens rotation. While they are a useful extension, they suffer from the same problem as the Level 1 BLAS in the full case, namely that the data-access requirements are of the same order as the arithmetic and so high efficiency is not obtained on most high performance computers.

In this document, we have quite deliberately used Fortran 77 to describe our routines. This is partly for consistency with earlier BLAS proposals, but we also feel that it gives more information on the organization of the routines. Additionally, the numerical community, particularly in the United States, is still strongly wedded to Fortran 77. We recognize, however, that Fortran 90 will give a cleaner interface, and we illustrate this in Section 10. A sample code in Fortran 77 is available through anonymous ftp (to seamus.cc.rl.ac.uk in directory sparseblas) and shows an implementation of some of the paths.

The document is structured in a similar fashion to those for the full higher level BLAS. After a general overview of the current capabilities in Section 2, we present the scope, naming conventions, argument conventions, and subroutine specifications in Sections 3, 4, 7, and 8, respectively. To these we have added sections particularly relevant to sparse matrices and the environment in which we envisage use of our routines in Sections 5 and 6 and, because there are more possibilities of error than in the case of full matrices, we have a separate section on error management in Section 9. The use of Fortran 90 interfaces within the BLAS is a subject for current debate and we illustrate a simple Fortran 90 interface to our routines in Section 10. Finally, we discuss the raison d'être of some of our design decisions in Section 11. Its position at the end of the document should not be regarded as an indication of its relative importance but merely acknowledges the fact that to bring it forward would require an undue number of forward references. Indeed, it was earlier versions of this section in previous drafts that led the discussion on this proposal, and we hope that the current section will be useful for the continuing debate on future BLAS initiatives.

2 General Overview

As we stated in the previous section, we envisage that our routines will be widely used in the solution of sparse equations by iterative methods. We have thus defined our set of routines with this in mind but would like to emphasize that the extensibility of our proposal allows further capabilities to be added a later date if, for example, this framework is embraced by other communities.

We propose interfaces for the following functions:

(1) a routine for performing the product of a sparse and a full matrix,

- (2) a routine for solving a sparse upper or lower triangular system of linear equations for a full matrix of right-hand sides,
- (3) a routine to check the input data, to transform from one sparse format to another, and to scale a sparse matrix, and
- (4) a routine to permute the columns of a sparse matrix and a routine to permute the rows of a full matrix.

Note that our definition in (1) and (2) includes operations on vectors as a trivial subset. These may be coded separately at the machine dependent level.

The data preprocessing routine (3) is essential to this proposal. This routine is designed to be called before the body of the computation. The interface is designed to accept many different data formats and produce many others. In particular, it can interrogate the machine it is running on and transform the data into a format that is particularly suited for that machine. Readers interested in writing code for transforming from their own particular structures should consult the sparse toolkit proposal of Carney et al. (1993) and Pozo & Remington (1996) that are primarily concerned with implementation issues.

Many algorithms require the permutation of matrices. Additionally, some efficient implementations of sparse matrix-vector products, and of the solution of sparse triangular systems on vector or parallel processors, require the vectors to be reordered. If high efficiency is required, it is necessary to avoid explicit vector permutations in the inner loops and, to enable this, routines have been added (4) to permute sparse matrices and full matrices appropriately. The permutation routines can also be called outside the body of the computation in order to increase efficiency by avoiding permutations within the main loop of the algorithm. This facility is discussed more in Section 6.

3 Scope of the Level 3 Sparse BLAS

In this section we present the mathematical definition of the scope of our Level 3 sparse BLAS.

If

- A and H are sparse matrices
- T is a triangular sparse matrix
- B and C are full matrices
- D is a diagonal matrix
- P, P_R , and P_C are permutation matrices
- α and β are scalars,

then the operations proposed have the following forms:

- Matrix-matrix products
 - $C \leftarrow \alpha P_R A P_C B + \beta C$
 - $C \leftarrow \alpha P_R A^T P_C B + \beta C$
- Solving triangular systems of equations with multiple right-hand sides
 - $C \leftarrow \alpha D P_R T^{-1} P_C B + \beta C$
 - $C \leftarrow \alpha D P_R T^{-T} P_C B + \beta C$
 - $C \leftarrow \alpha P_R T^{-1} P_C DB + \beta C$
 - $C \leftarrow \alpha P_R T^{-T} P_C DB + \beta C$
- Data preprocessing including change of data structure
 - Checks on input data (optional)
 - $(H, P_R, P_C) \leftarrow DA$
- Permuting the columns of a sparse matrix
 - $A \leftarrow AP$
 - $A \leftarrow AP^T$
- Permuting the rows of a full matrix
 - $C \leftarrow PC$
 - $C \leftarrow P^T C$

4 Naming conventions

The name of a Level 3 sparse BLAS routine follows the conventions of the Level 3 BLAS for full matrices. The first character in the name denotes the Fortran data type of the matrix as follows:

- S REAL
- D DOUBLE PRECISION
- C COMPLEX
- Z COMPLEX*16¹

¹DOUBLE PRECISION COMPLEX is not defined in Fortran 77, although it is a widely supported extension. The best way of declaring this using a Fortran 90 compiler would be to use COMPLEX(KIND(1D0)).

For the routines (1) to (3) in Section 2, characters two and three are 'CS' and denote that the input matrix has a Compressed Structure. For the routine in (4) for permuting full systems, the characters GE (GEneral) are used for compatibility with earlier BLAS proposals.

The fourth and fifth characters denote the operation as follows:

- MM Matrix-matrix product
- SM Solve a system of linear equations for a matrix of right-hand sides
- DP Data preprocessing routine
- CP Column Permutation
- RP Row Permutation

In the text of this paper we use precision independent names obtained by relacing the first character by an underscore. Thus, for example, _CSMM covers SCSMM, DCSMM, CCSMM, and ZCSMM.

5 Representation of sparse matrix

A sparse matrix A is represented by two character strings and four arrays: a real array, and three integer arrays. All these arrays are included within the derived data type for sparse matrices when using Fortran 90, as we indicate in Section 10.

• FIDA: character*5

• DESCRA: character*11

• A: real array

• IA1 and IA2: integer arrays

• INFOA: integer array

FIDA is a character*5 variable that defines the format of the sparse matrix. DESCRA is a character*11 variable that describes the characteristics of the matrix. We give some examples of possible formats in the following but stress that this list is neither exhaustive nor necessarily supported in any particular implementation.

```
FIDA - the storage technique that is used
       CSC or Compressed Sparse Column
       CSR or Compressed Sparse Row
       COO or Coordinate format
       DIA or Diagonal format
       ELL or Ellpack_Itpack format
       JAD or Jagged_Diagonals
       BDI or Block Diagonal format
       BSC or Block Sparse Column format
       BSR or Block Sparse Row format
       SKY or Skyline format
DESCRA(1:1) - Matrix structure
              G or General
              S or Symmetric
              H or Hermitian
              T or Triangular
              A or Anti-symmetric (Skew_Symmetric/Hermitian)
              D or Diagonal
DESCRA(2:2) - Upper/Lower Indicator
              U or Upper
              L or Lower
DESCRA(3:3) - Diagonal
              U or Unit (diagonals not stored)
              N or Non_Unit
```

 ${\tt DESCRA(4:10)}$ - Special information which may be used for a particular storage representation

Sometimes it is necessary to store supplementary integer information on the sparse data format. One possibility is to use IA1 or IA2. However, this is often not acceptable because it would destroy compatibility with using them for some of the common formats. We therefore include a further integer array INFOA that can hold this information, examples being the number of nonzeros when using a coordinate scheme or the block size for block data formats. The INFOA array can also be used to convey further information to the preprocessing routine, for example an indication of the likely number of right-hand sides or the number of times the transformed data structure will be used so that the preprocessing routine may choose a better and more efficient data structure. INFOA can also be used to indicate that both the matrix and its transpose will be required. The format for the data structure output from the transformation routine is, of course, controlled by the implementor. Although we allow two integer arrays for output, in some implementations only one of these arrays might be used.

There is a potential problem with character string variables when calling Fortran subroutines from C. We feel strongly that the use of a character string is the best way of communicating data on the matrix format and we wish to maintain consistency with earlier BLAS for which ways have been found to overcome this. However, we have alleviated the problem greatly by avoiding the use of character arrays and forbidding the use of the last character in arguments FIDA and DESCRA. In that way, the null character terminator

for a character string in C can be accommodated.

We feel that the six proposed parameters are sufficient to accommodate all of the more widely used sparse matrix storage techniques and we have allowed for future expansion by declaring DESCRA as a character*11 variable and INFOA as an INTEGER array of length 10. For example, if we were using the Harwell-Boeing format (Duff, Grimes & Lewis 1989, Duff, Grimes & Lewis 1997), FIDA would be set to CSC, DESCRA(1:1) to G, A to the matrix values by columns, IA1 the corresponding row indices, and IA2 pointers to the position of the first entry of each column in the arrays A and IA1. INFOA could be used to convey information for the machine-specific transformation if it is required. Perhaps the easiest and most widespread data structure is the coordinate scheme. For this structure FIDA would be set to COO. Here the entries of the sparse matrix are stored as a single array (array A in our structure) and the corresponding row and column indices in separate integer arrays (IA1 and IA2 in our structure). Thus, if entry a_{ij} were held in position K of A $(A(K) = a_{ij})$, IA1(K) would hold i and IA2(K) would hold j. Note that now it is necessary to hold the number of entries in array INFOA, for example INFOA(1)=nz, where nz is the number of entries. A fuller discussion of sparse data structures is presented in Carney et al. (1993), Saad (1994), or Pozo & Remington (1996), for example.

Now, although it may appear that we are encouraging an exponential explosion in the number of data structures supported, we should stress that we do not expect or even necessarily encourage vendors to support more than one or two data structures. Ideally COO and CSC (or CSR) would be supported in addition to vendor specific data structures as discussed in the next paragraph. Indeed, we would expect that the COO data structure would be supported as an input data structure in any implementation of our proposal. We regard this facility as one of the strengths of our proposal. A user with an esoteric data structure would normally have to write his or her own conversion routine to the COO data structure and then convert from this standard form using a vendor supported routine.

We communicate the sparse data structures in a standard fashion as in Carney et al. (1993) and Duff et al. (1997). Indeed, we expect that a vendor or user implementing routines for any of the data structures described in Carney et al. (1993) will adhere to the specifications found there. The reason for this is to avoid a proliferation of different implementations of the same data structure on different computing platforms. This means that data in the COO format is held so that entry A(k) is in position (IA1(k),IA2(k)), k = 1, ..., INFOA(1); while for data in the CSC format, the entries in column j, j=1,..., N, are in positions IA1(j) to IA1(j+1)-1 of arrays A and IA2, with the row index of the entry in A(k) held in position IA2(k), k = 1, IA1(N+1)-1.

In the routine _CSDP, the user can request that the format of the sparse matrix is automatically transformed to one that is best on the target machine. This is done by specifying the characters ??? in FIDA for the output format. We expect the manufacturers to provide appropriate code in their implementations. A nice aspect of this is that the vendor could change the output format to best suit the hardware on which the code is actually being run. In this way, the user is isolated from changes in the hardware. Because of this principal feature of _CSDP, auxiliary data might need to be passed to _CSDP using the INFOA array. This environment has much in common with the currently favoured object-oriented (o-o) approach. For example, the best data structure for _CSMM or _CSSM may be very dependent on the number of right-hand sides or the number of columns in

the full matrix (K, say). The entries of the sparse matrix are used K times, therefore as K increases the cost of indirectly addressing them reduces. When K is very large, a full vector model of computation gives high performance (Agarwal, Gustavson & Zubair 1992). Similarly, it can be helpful to provide CSDP with information on the number of subsequent calls that will be made using the output structure. If the computation is to be repeated a large number of times, it is more worthwhile to spend extra time in the data preprocessing phase in order to obtain a particularly efficient structure for subsequent computation. INFOA can also be used to inform the implementor that operations with both the matrix and its transpose will be later required, thus enabling further efficiency in data preprocessing by allowing both structures to be computed at the same time. A vendor chosen code would be output by CSDP into the descriptor associated with the output matrix to describe the data structure used. This code would be used in calls to subsequent subroutines. We envisage that different vendors might choose different data structures because the performance can vary greatly depending on the storage format. For example, see results of Erhel (1990), or the Stripped Jagged Diagonals scheme (Paolini & Radicati di Brozolo 1989) that has proven efficient on an IBM 3090/VF computer. A good example of the influence of data structures on machine performance is given by Agarwal et al. (1992).

6 Permutations

In order to avoid permutations on each vector algebra operation, we allow permutations on the data structures outside the loop of the iterative algorithm. We believe that this can be accomplished using only a column permutation of a sparse matrix and a row permutation of a full matrix and we thus introduce subroutines to permute the columns of a sparse matrix or the rows of a full matrix explicitly. These subroutines are discussed in Section 8.4. Here we indicate how they may be used when efficient implementation is required.

Let us assume that all that is required in the inner loop of the iterative method is:

do i = 1, ...
$$y \leftarrow Ax$$
 Multiply by a sparse matrix
$$x \leftarrow x + ay$$
 end do

and that the data conversion routine had converted the sparse matrix A to a matrix H with the same column ordering but a different row ordering. The code would then become:

$$(P_C, H) \leftarrow A$$
 Change data structure and generate permutations do i = 1, ... $y \leftarrow Hx$ Multiply by a sparse matrix $y \leftarrow P_C y$ Multiply (left) by a permutation matrix $x \leftarrow x + ay$ end do

and the additional permutation P_{Cy} (necessary to return y to the original ordering) in each iteration could significantly affect efficiency. By introducing explicit permutation subroutines, we can avoid this as follows:

$(P_C, H) \leftarrow A$	Change data structure
$x \leftarrow P_C^T x$	Multiply (left) by a permutation
$H \leftarrow HP_C$	Multiply sparse matrix by a permutation
do $i = 1,$	
$y \leftarrow Hx$	Multiply by a sparse matrix
$x \leftarrow x + ay$	
end do	
$x \leftarrow P_C x$	Multiply (left) by a permutation to restore
	to the original ordering

7 Argument conventions

We follow a convention for the argument lists similar to that for the Level 3 BLAS for full matrices with

- Arguments specifying options
- Arguments defining the sizes of the matrices
- Input scalar
- Description of input matrices
- Input scalar (associated with input-output matrix)
- Description of the input-output matrix
- Work array
- Error flag

7.1 Arguments specifying options

TRANS is a character*1 argument and is used by the routines as shown in the following table.

Value	Meaning of TRANS		
'N'	Operate with or generate the matrix		
$^{\cdot}\mathrm{T}^{\cdot}$	Operate with or generate the transpose of the matrix		
$^{\prime}\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$	Operate with or generate the conjugate of the matrix		
'H'	Operate with or generate the conjugate transpose of the matrix		

CHECK is a character*1 argument specifying an option in the data preprocessing routine _CSDP. Values for CHECK and their meanings are given in the following table.

Value	Meaning of CHECK
'С'	Perform checks on data and exit
'Y'	Perform checks on data and transform format
'N'	Do not perform data checks but transform format

In any call, if an actual argument has a character value other than those in the tables, an immediate error return is made and the data is unchanged.

7.2 Arguments defining the sizes of the matrices

As in the full BLAS, the number of rows and columns in the output array are given by M and N respectively. For \square CSMM, the number of columns of the input sparse matrix and rows in the input full matrix are given by K. For \square CSSM, the input sparse matrix is triangular of order M and there are N right-hand sides. If TRANS is set to 'T', 'C', or 'H' in the \square CSMM routine, M and K are the number of rows and columns of the transpose of the sparse input matrix. It is permissible to call the routines with M or N <= 0, in which case the routines exit immediately without referencing their matrix arguments. If M and N > 0, but K <= 0, the operation performed by \square CSMM reduces to $C \leftarrow \beta C$.

7.3 Input scalars

The scalars always have the dummy argument names ALPHA and BETA. ALPHA for the scalar α associated with the input matrix, and BETA for the scalar β associated with the input-output matrix.

7.4 Description of input matrices

We discussed the arguments for representing sparse matrices in Section 5. The sparse matrix for the matrix-matrix multiplication and the column permutation routines is held in arrays A, IA1, IA2, FIDA, DESCRA, INFOA, as indicated in Section 5. The triangular sparse matrix (for \triangle CSSM) is held in arrays T, IT1, IT2, FIDT, DESCRT, and INFOT, while the output sparse matrix from \triangle CSDP is held in H, IH1, IH2, FIDH, DESCRH, and INFOH. For the output sparse matrix only, lengths for H, IH1, and IH2 are held in LH, LIH1, and LIH2 respectively (see Sections 8.3 and 9.1). We also have a data format for a diagonal matrix. We hold this matrix in two arguments, a real array D holding the values of the entries on the diagonal, and a character*1 argument (UNITD) which indicates whether the diagonal matrix is unit (in which case D is not accessed) or whether the matrix is used for row scaling, column scaling, or both.

Value	Meaning of UNITD
'U'	Unit matrix
$^{\iota}\mathrm{L},$	Row scaling
'R'	Column scaling
'B'	Row and column scaling with $D^{\frac{1}{2}}$

Permutation matrices are used both as input and output to the routines. Permutations for input matrices are held in PR and PC, and those for the output sparse matrix in P1 and P2.

A permutation matrix P is represented by an integer array stored as a vector whose entry i is equal to the position of the only nonzero entry in row i; in the following example the permutation matrix:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]$$

is represented by:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3\\1\\2\end{array}\right].$$

If no permutation is needed (that is, the permutation is the identity), the value of the first entry of the permutation array can be set to 0.

The description of the input full matrix consists of a permutation array and the array name B followed by LDB, the leading dimension of the array as declared in the calling (sub)program.

7.5 Description of the input-output matrix

The description of the input-output full matrix consists of the array name C followed by the leading dimension LDC.

7.6 Work array

In many instances, efficiency is promoted if extra work space is available. We accommodate this in each case by a real array WORK. The length of array WORK is stored on entry in the variable LWORK. The minimum length required by the subroutine is returned in WORK(1).

7.7 Error flag

In contrast to the full Level 3 BLAS, we provide an error flag, IERROR, in every routine. Error handling is outlined in Section 9. The data checking option of the data preprocessing routine _CSDP does fairly extensive checking but the other routines, since they will normally be called afterwards and in the main loops of the code, do only minimal checking.

We feel it is important to introduce this parameter since we have a more complex (and hence more error prone) situation than the full case and there has also been some criticism of the full case for not including such an argument.

8 Specifications of the Level 3 sparse BLAS

Type and dimension for variables occurring in the subroutine specifications are as follows

For routines whose first letter is an S:

```
REAL ALPHA, BETA
REAL A(*), B(LDB,N), C(LDC,N), D(M), H(LH), T(*), WORK(LWORK)
```

For routines whose first letter is a D:

```
DOUBLE PRECISION ALPHA, BETA

DOUBLE PRECISION A(*), B(LDB,N), C(LDC,N), D(M), H(LH), T(*),

WORK(LWORK)
```

For routines whose first letter is a C:

```
COMPLEX ALPHA, BETA

COMPLEX A(*), B(LDB,N), C(LDC,N), D(M), H(LH), T(*), WORK(LWORK)
```

For routines whose first letter is a Z:

```
COMPLEX*16 ALPHA, BETA
COMPLEX*16 A(*), B(LDB,N), C(LDC,N), D(M), H(LH),
T(*), WORK(LWORK)
```

8.1 Sparse Matrix times Full matrix

```
_CSMM (TRANS, M, N, K, ALPHA, PR, FIDA, DESCRA, A, IA1, IA2, INFOA, PC, B, LDB, BETA, C, LDC, WORK, LWORK, IERROR)
```

Operation (C is always $m \times n$)

TRANS = 'N'	TRANS = T
$C \leftarrow \alpha P_R \ A \ P_C \ B + \beta C$	$C \leftarrow \alpha P_R \ A^T \ P_C \ B + \beta C$

When the matrix is complex, the TRANS parameter can be 'N', 'T' for transpose, 'H' for conjugate transpose, or 'C' for conjugate.

8.2 Solution of triangular systems of equations

_CSSM(TRANS, M, N, ALPHA, UNITD, D, PR, FIDT, DESCRT, T, IT1, IT2, INFOT, PC, B, LDB, BETA, C, LDC, WORK, LWORK, IERROR)

	TRANS = 'N'	TRANS = T
UNITD = 'L' UNITD = 'R'	$C \leftarrow \alpha P_R \ T^{-1} \ D \ P_C \ B + \beta C$	$C \leftarrow \alpha \ P_R \ T^{-T} \ P_C \ B + \beta C$ $C \leftarrow \alpha P_R \ D \ T^{-T} \ P_C \ B + \beta C$ $C \leftarrow \alpha P_R \ T^{-T} \ D \ P_C \ B + \beta C$ $C \leftarrow \alpha P_R \ D^{\frac{1}{2}} T^{-T} D^{\frac{1}{2}} P_C B + \beta C$

As we see in the second and third columns, when TRANS has the value 'T', the only change to the assignments in column 2 is that T^{-T} is used in place of T^{-1} . When the matrix is complex, the TRANS parameter may also have the value 'H' for conjugate transpose, T^{-H} for T^{-1} , or 'C' for conjugate, \overline{T}^{-1} .

8.3 Specification of data preprocessing routine

The purpose of the data preprocessing routine is to convert the user's data structures for holding the sparse matrix into structures better suited for the subsequent operations on the target architecture. The matrix can also be scaled. There is also a capability to check the input data for inconsistencies or errors.

The matrix being input is held in arrays FIDA, DESCRA, A, IA1, IA2, and INFOA. Information for scaling is held in UNITD and D and the output matrix is held in FIDH, DESCRH, H, IH1, IH2, and INFOH.

The data preprocessing routine invoked by the following call:

_CSDP(CHECK, TRANS, M, N, UNITD, D, FIDA, DESCRA, A, IA1, IA2, INFOA, P1, FIDH, DESCRH, H, IH1, IH2, INFOH, P2, LH, LIH1, LIH2,

If CHECK is set to 'Y' or 'C', the above routine will check the input data to see if indices are within range, and, in the case of triangular matrices, to see if they are indeed triangular and have a nonzero diagonal. An error return is also invoked if the data structures requested are not in the implementation. If CHECK is equal to 'C' then the routine immediately returns after checking the input data. If CHECK is equal to 'Y' or 'N', the subroutine transforms the sparse matrix from the data structure FIDA, DESCRA, A, IA1, IA2, and INFOA to the data structure FIDH, DESCRH, H, IH1, IH2, and INFOH, optionally (depending on value of UNITD) scaling the matrix by the diagonal matrix D. The input-output relation is $DA = P_1HP_2$; row and column permutations used in the conversion are provided as output in P1 and P2, respectively. When a call to \bot CSDP is followed by a call to \bot CSMM, P1 and P2 can be used as PR and PC respectively in the second call. When a call to \bot CSDP is followed by a call to \bot CSSM definition.

Because the storage for the transformed matrix may differ from the original, we input the dimensions of H, IH1, and IH2 in LH, LIH1, and LIH2, respectively. If these are insufficient for the data format requested, an error return is invoked. The number of locations required in H, IH1, and IH2 is returned in H(1), IH1(1), and IH2(1) respectively, as described in Section 9.1.

TRANS might be used if the matrix A is stored but the user wishes a more efficient way for performing the matrix-matrix multiplication A^TB . Then TRANS would be set to 'T' in the _CSDP call but be set to 'N' in the call to _CSMM. Note that if _CSDP is called with TRANS 'N' followed by _CSMM with TRANS set to 'T', the same function will be performed but _CSMM would possibly be using an inappropriate data structure for multiplication by A^T . It may be that some vendors would wish to discourage this by not supporting calls to _CSMM or _CSSM with TRANS equal to 'T', returning with an error condition if such a call is attempted.

It is not expected that transformations will be provided between all possible data structures. Note that when LCSDP is used to generate a vendor chosen data structure, FIDH will be set to ??? on input and will be reset to a vendor chosen identifier on output. It is this reset value which should be used in subsequent calls.

8.4 Routines to permute matrices

As discussed in Section 6, our proposal provides routines for permuting the columns of a sparse matrix and the rows of a full matrix. These are provided to allow permutations on the data structures outside the loop of the iterative algorithm as illustrated in Section 6. Because the permutation of the full matrix corresponds to a full Level 3 BLAS, we use the appropriate full Level 3 BLAS nomenclature.

We thus have the following calls, _CSCP performs the column permutation of a sparse matrix and _GERP the row permutation of a full one.

_CSCP(TRANS, M, N, FIDA, DESCRA, IA1, IA2, INFOA, P, WORK, LWORK, IERROR) and

_GERP(TRANS, M, N, P, B, LDB, WORK, LWORK, IERROR)

Calling $_$ CSMM with the two permutation matrices P_R and P_C is the same as calling $_$ CSMM with I (identity matrix) and P_C followed by a call to $_$ GERP with P_R .

9 Error management

As we said in Section 7.7, we provide the argument IERROR to return information on errors found in the routines. There are two levels of error. Those with IERROR negative signify a terminal error so that the normal recourse is to halt the computation. A positive value of IERROR is used to warn the user about a potentially abnormal situation. This follows the system used in the Harwell Subroutine Library (HSL 1996), where negative flags are associated with fatal errors and positive flags with warnings.

Following the style of LAPACK (Anderson, Bai, Bischof, Demmel, Dongarra, DuCroz, Greenbaum, Hammarling, McKenney, Ostrouchov & Sorensen 1992), we use negative values of IERROR when an invalid argument is passed to a routine. If the i-th argument has an invalid value, IERROR is set to -i and the error handler routine XERBLA is called. If, for instance, a user calls DCSMM with TRANS set to 'M', XERBLA writes to standard output the message:

** On entry to DCSMM parameter number 1 had an illegal value

and stops the execution. The user, however, can remove the STOP in XERBLA to allow the routine to return to the calling BLAS which, in turn, will return immediately to the calling program. In this case, the user can check for an error by testing the value of IERROR.

An example where a warning might be returned is a call to CSMM with M set to 0. As we pointed out in Section 7.2, in this case the routine exits immediately. We do not consider this to be an error, because this feature might be useful for particular applications, but a warning should be issued anyway, since this condition might be caused by a programming error.

9.1 Memory errors

When a routine that needs a certain amount of memory to work is called with an insufficient value in arguments LWORK, LH, LIH1, or LIH2, the routine sets IERROR to the proper (negative) value, calls XERBLA and returns. In some cases, it is desirable to know the minimum amount of memory required for the correct execution, before the actual computation is done. This can be done by calling the routine with arguments LWORK, LH, LIH1, LIH2 set to 1. If the minimum values of these arguments can be supplied, they will be returned in the first location of the corresponding arrays, else the standard error handling takes place. Note that, if the call to _CSDP is successful, the minimum value of LWORK is returned in WORK(1), but this cannot be done for LH, LIH1, LIH2, since H, IH1 and IH2 contain the converted output matrix. So a call with LH, LIH1, LIH2 set to 1 is the only guaranteed way for _CSDP to return the minimum values for these arguments.

10 Fortran 90 interface

The use of Fortran 77 as a description language is ideal for illustrating the closeness of our proposal to previous BLAS proposals, and gives a tight definition for our proposal which will, we believe, be of assistance to anybody implementing it. However, it is clear that a cleaner interface can be provided by Fortran 90, particularly in the description of the sparse matrix data structures.

We show below the interface block for a Fortran 90 module for implementing the sparse BLAS. At present, although this block is available on anonymous ftp, the whole suite of Fortran 90 subprograms is not yet ready. We have, however, tested the Fortran 90 version of _CSMM. In fact, rather than claiming this is the definitive statement on the Fortran 90 sparse BLAS, we present this in the spirit of a continuing debate on the future of the BLAS (see, for example, the BLAST Forum home page at URL http://www.netlib.org/utk/papers/blast-forum.html).

```
MODULE TYPESP
   TYPE SPMAT
                              ! Derived data type for sparse matrix
      INTEGER M,K
                             ! Order matrix
      CHARACTER*5 FIDA
                             ! Storage format
      CHARACTER*11 DESCRA
                             ! Matrix characteristics
      INTEGER
                  INFOA(10) ! Supplementary information
      DOUBLE PRECISION, POINTER :: A(:) ! Real data
                              ! Integer data and permutations
      INTEGER,POINTER :: IA1(:),IA2(:),PL(:),PR(:)
   END TYPE SPMAT
END MODULE TYPESP
MODULE SPARSE_BLAS3
   INTERFACE PREPARE
                                         ! Data preprocessing routine
      SUBROUTINE PREPARE (A, TRANS, CHECK, UNITD, D, H, ERROR)
         USE TYPESP
         TYPE (SPMAT) A, H
                                         ! Input and output matrices
       ! H%FIDA = ??? on input allows vendor to select storage scheme
         CHARACTER TRANS, CHECK, UNITD ! Controls
         DOUBLE PRECISION D(A%M)
                                         ! Scaling matrix
         INTEGER ERROR
                                         ! Error flag
      END SUBROUTINE PREPARE
   END INTERFACE
   INTERFACE MULTIPLY
                                      ! Sparse matrix times full matrix
      SUBROUTINE MULTIPLY (ALPHA, A, TRANS, B, BETA, C, ERROR)
         USE TYPESP
         TYPE (SPMAT) A
                                             ! Input sparse matrix
         DOUBLE PRECISION C(:,:)
                                             ! Input full matrix
         DOUBLE PRECISION ALPHA, BETA
                                             ! Scalars
         DOUBLE PRECISION B(A%K,SIZE(C,2)) ! Output (full) matrix
         CHARACTER TRANS
                                             ! Control
```

```
INTEGER ERROR
                                             ! Error flag
      END SUBROUTINE MULTIPLY
  END INTERFACE
  INTERFACE TRISOLVE
                                    ! Solution of sparse triangular systems
      SUBROUTINE TRISOLVE (ALPHA, A, TRANS, UNITD, D, B, BETA, C, ERROR)
         USE TYPESP
         TYPE (SPMAT) A
                                             ! Input sparse matrix
         DOUBLE PRECISION C(:,:)
                                             ! Input full right-hand sides
         DOUBLE PRECISION D(A%M)
                                             ! Scaling matrix
         DOUBLE PRECISION B(A%K,SIZE(C,2)) ! Solution vectors
         DOUBLE PRECISION ALPHA, BETA
                                            ! Scalars
         CHARACTER TRANS, UNITD
                                             ! Controls
         INTEGER ERROR
                                             ! Error flag
      END SUBROUTINE TRISOLVE
  END INTERFACE
  INTERFACE SPARSEPERM
                                    ! Column permutation of sparse matrix
      SUBROUTINE SPARSEPERM(A, TRANS, PERM, ERROR)
         USE TYPESP
         TYPE (SPMAT) A
                                         ! Sparse matrix
         CHARACTER TRANS
                                         ! Control
                                         ! Permutation and error flag
         INTEGER PERM(A%K), ERROR
      END SUBROUTINE SPARSEPERM
  END INTERFACE
  INTERFACE FULLPERM
                                    ! Row permutation of full matrix
      SUBROUTINE FULLPERM(B, TRANS, PERM, ERROR)
         DOUBLE PRECISION B(:,:)
                                          ! Full matrix
                                          ! Control
         CHARACTER TRANS
         INTEGER PERM(SIZE(B,1)), ERROR ! Permutation and error flag
      END SUBROUTINE FULLPERM
   END INTERFACE
END MODULE SPARSE_BLAS3
```

11 Discussion of the design

As we said in the introduction, establishing a common framework for Level 3 sparse BLAS involves many compromises. We have tried to be as frugal as practical in introducing new routines and, although the calling sequences in Fortran 77 are long, we have kept them as short as possible for the functionality and flexibility we think necessary. In this section, we describe some of the compromises explicitly. Also, we stress that the framework is designed to allow future expansion and so the fact that some feature is omitted in the current proposal does not preclude its existence in the future.

• Naming conventions

Our naming convention follows that of the earlier BLAS. For the matrix-matrix and triangular solve, we have used the characters MM and SM respectively as in the case of full BLAS. Since the routine for creating a new data format, checking the data, and scaling the matrix would normally be called prior to the body of the main code, we have called this a "data preprocessing" routine and have used the characters DP.

• Representation of sparse format

The format for the sparse matrix is held in the real array A, two integer arrays IA1 and IA2, and character strings FIDA and DESCRA. We have incorporated UPLO and DIAG, as used in the full BLAS, within DESCRA. Because it is sometimes necessary to hold auxiliary information, for example the number of entries for the coordinate scheme or the number of right-hand sides to help in the automatic selection of optimal data formats, we do this by including another integer array INFOA. We prefer this to two other solutions of including the integer information in IA1 or IA2 (or in a combined IA1/IA2 array), or providing an auxiliary subroutine PUTCHAR that stores an integer value in DESCRA. Although it would have perhaps been cleaner to have only one integer array instead of three (IA1, IA2, and INFOA), we have made a concession to some of the more commonly used formats (CSC, CSR and the coordinate scheme, COO, for example) by including the two arrays. We feel it is important and user-friendly to provide this backward compatibility, but it is certainly an area that caused much comment (both for and against) in our earlier draft. The format of the output arrays from LCSDP (H, IH1, IH2, INFOH) are of course at the discretion of the implementor so it is possible that all integer output is included in only one array. Although we gave some examples for possible data formats in Section 11, we must stress that they are not meant to be exhaustive, nor would we expect every implementation to support all those we mention. What is important, however, is that our interface is flexible enough so that any sensible input data format can be included within our framework.

• Transformation between data formats

In most applications, the ??? output will be used. We feel, however, that it is worth allowing the greater flexibility of permitting the user to transform between two data structures of his or her own choosing, even if such a code is not implemented by the vendors.

• Use of character variables

There is a problem with the use of character string variables when calling Fortran subroutines from C programs. Since we envisage the use of the kernels from C we need to take some action. We could place the burden on the C programmer or could avoid the use of character strings altogether. However, we do not like either of these options and have chosen to avoid storage of information in the last character of the character variable where C stores its null terminator. In this case, we only allow a maximum of four characters to be stored in a character*5 variable and ten in a character*11 variable.

• Finite-element matrices

We have tried not to prejudice the way the sparse matrix is stored. Indeed we debated about including a routine to assemble a finite-element problem. The comments which

we had on our earlier draft indicate that it would not be possible to offer full support for finite-element applications without significantly altering the proposal and making it much less suitable for its main purpose. There was some support for a routine for assembling a matrix, but that would be at quite a different level from our current set of routines and so we do not propose to include this. Such a routine has been included in Release 12 of HSL as subroutine MC37. We should point out that the Harwell-Boeing format (Duff et al. 1989) does allow for the storage of finite-element matrices and so they can be held in the format proposed in this paper.

• Scaling matrices

We have not included a diagonal scaling matrix in the \angle CSMM routines but feel the appropriate place for such a scaling is at the preprocessing stage to avoid extra overhead in the inner loops. We have, however, allowed the user to use a diagonal scaling matrix in the case of triangular solves. This could, for example, permit the diagonal of the triangular matrix (or its inverse) to be stored separately for efficiency and flexibility.

• Use of TRANS

In most cases, _CSMM and _CSSM will be run with TRANS equal to 'N', since the matrix will have been transposed already, if required, by _CSDP. Indeed, some vendors may disable a call to _CSMM or _CSSM with TRANS not equal to 'N'. At the moment, however, we have kept the parameter TRANS because, for example, the user may be developing code on a machine without vendor implementations and may find it easier to avoid explicit transposition in _CSDP. Note that, in the _CSDP routine, the implementor could choose to generate both structures for the matrix and its transpose. Information on whether both are required is passed in the INFOA array. We preferred this to allowing TRANS in _CSDP to have the value 'B' (for both) since we feel this is more an implementation issue akin to stipulating the number of subsequent right-hand sides.

• Triangular matrix-matrix multiplication

There was some interest in a triangular matrix-matrix multiplication routine. We feel that this is more suitably included as a subcase of the _CSMM routine and so do not have this as a special case.

• Omission of TRANSB

The full Level 3 BLAS allows transposition of the matrix B. However, we do not see the usefulness of this in the present context and do not include this parameter in our Level 3 sparse BLAS.

• Level 2 sparse BLAS

We have intentionally not provided explicit Level 2 BLAS routines _CSMV and _CSSV since we feel their functionality is easily incorporated within _CSMM and _CSSM respectively. We do not believe efficiency need be compromised because special action could be taken by the vendor when the number of columns in B is equal to 1.

• Permutations of matrices

We have restricted the explicit permutation calls to only two in the belief that it is unnecessary also to include row permutations of sparse matrices or column permutations of full ones. Although this is unæsthetically unsymmetric, we want to keep the demands on implementors to a minimum. In the permutation routines, we allow both operations by a permutation and its transpose although it is trivial to generate one from the other, because the extensibility of our framework would make the addition of such routines easy if the need for them did arise.

12 Acknowledgments

Many of our colleagues made very helpful comments on an earlier draft of this proposal. We would like to thank all those who participated in the various discussions at workshops and conferences and individuals who have commented on the draft: Ramesh Agarwal, Richard Brankin, Michel Daydé, Fred Gustavson, Nick Gould, Michael Heroux, Gérard Meurant, Marco Perezzani, Alexander Peters, John Reid, Willi Schönauer, Ray Tuminaro, and Henk van der Vorst. We would also like to thank the anonymous referee, the non-anonymous referee, John Lewis, and the TOMS Editor, Richard Hanson, for their helpful comments.

References

- Agarwal, R. C., Gustavson, F. G. & Zubair, M. (1992), A high performance algorithm using pre-processing for the sparse matrix-vector multiplication, in ACM/IEEE, ed., 'Proceedings of Supercomputing '92, Minneapolis, MN. Nov 16-20, 1992.', IEEE Computer Society Press, Los Alamitos, California, pp. 32-41.
- Amestoy, P. R., Daydé, M. & Duff, I. S. (1989), Use of level 3 BLAS in the solution of full and sparse linear equations, in J.-L. Delhaye & E. Gelenbe, eds, 'High Performance Computing: Proceedings of the International Symposium on High Performance Computing, Montpellier, France, 22–24 March, 1989', North Holland, Amsterdam, pp. 19–31.
- Anderson, E., Bai, Z., Bischof, C., Demmel, J., Dongarra, J., DuCroz, J., Greenbaum, A., Hammarling, S., McKenney, A., Ostrouchov, S. & Sorensen, D. (1992), LAPACK Users' Guide., SIAM Press.
- Ashby, S. F. & Seager, M. K. (1990), A proposed standard for iterative solvers, Technical Report 102860, LLNL, Livermore, CA, USA.
- Carney, S., Heroux, M. A. & Li, G. (1993), A proposal for a sparse BLAS toolkit, Technical Report TR/PA/92/90 (Revised), CERFACS, Toulouse, France.
- Cerioni, F., Colajanni, M., Filippone, S. & Maiolatesi, S. (1996), A proposal for parallel sparse BLAS, Technical Report RI.96.05, University of Rome Tor Vergata.
- CMSSL (1992), CMSSL for CM Fortran. Version 3.0, Technical report, Thinking Machines Corporation.

- Dodson, D. S., Grimes, R. G. & Lewis, J. G. (1991), 'Sparse extensions to the Fortran Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms', ACM Trans. Math. Softw. 17, 253-263.
- Dongarra, J. J., Du Croz, J., Duff, I. S. & Hammarling, S. (1990), 'A set of Level 3 Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms.', ACM Trans. Math. Softw. 16, 1–17.
- Dongarra, J. J., Du Croz, J. J., Hammarling, S. & Hanson, R. J. (1988), 'An extented set of Fortran Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms', ACM Trans. Math. Softw. 14, 1-17.
- Duff, I. S. (1981), Full matrix techniques in sparse Gaussian elimination, in G. A. Watson, ed., 'Numerical Analysis Proceedings, Dundee 1981', Lecture Notes in Mathematics 912, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 71–84.
- Duff, I. S. & Reid, J. K. (1996), 'Exploiting zeros on the diagonal in the direct solution of indefinite sparse symmetric linear systems', *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.* **22**(2), 227–257.
- Duff, I. S., Grimes, R. G. & Lewis, J. G. (1989), 'Sparse matrix test problems', ACM Trans. Math. Softw. 15(1), 1-14.
- Duff, I. S., Grimes, R. G. & Lewis, J. G. (1997), The Rutherford-Boeing Sparse Matrix Collection, Technical report, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory. To appear.
- Erhel, J. (1990), 'Sparse matrix multiplication on vector computers', Int J. High Speed Computing 2, 101-116.
- ESSL (1990), IBM Engineering and Scientific Subroutine Library. Guide and Reference, Technical report, IBM Corporation.
- HSL (1996), Harwell Subroutine Library. A Catalogue of Subroutines (Release 12), AEA Technology, Harwell Laboratory, Oxfordshire, England. For information concerning HSL contact: Dr Scott Roberts, AEA Technology, 552 Harwell, Didcot, Oxon OX11 0RA, England (tel: +44-1235-434988, fax: +44-1235-434136, email: Scott.Roberts@aeat.co.uk).
- Lawson, C. L., Hanson, R. J., Kincaid, D. R. & Krogh, F. T. (1979), 'Basic linear algebra subprograms for Fortran usage', ACM Trans. Math. Softw. 5, 308–323.
- Oppe, T. C. & Kincaid, D. R. (1990), Are there iterative BLAS?, Technical Report CNA-240, Center for Numerical Analysis, The University of Texas at Austin.
- Oppe, T. C., Joubert, W. & Kincaid, D. R. (1988), NSPCG User's guide. A package for solving large linear systems by various iterative methods, Technical Report CNA-216, Center for Numerical Analysis, The University of Texas at Austin.
- Paolini, G. V. & Radicati di Brozolo, G. (1989), 'Data structures to vectorize CG algorithms for general sparsity patterns', BIT 29, 703-718.
- Pozo, R. & Remington, K. A. (1996), The NIST sparse BLAS library implementation: Design and performance, Technical Report To be assigned, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, USA.
- Saad, Y. (1994), 'ILUT: a dual threshold incomplete LU factorization', Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications 1(4), 387-402.